

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. BC. 1459 Alexandra, Vignus 22313-1459 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILI   | NG DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO    |  |
|-----------------|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|
| 10 084,423      | 02/28/2002                                   |                | Shinji Tsukamoto     | P21668              | 7452               |  |
| 7055            | 7590   | 06 20.2003     |                      |                     |                    |  |
|                 |  | RNSTEIN, P.L.O | EXAMINER             |                     |                    |  |
|                 | 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE<br>RESTON, VA 20191 |                |                      | MACK, RICK          | MACK, RICKY LEVERN |  |
|                 |  |                |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER       |  |
|                 |  |                |                      | 2873                | _                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| •  | •  | 10/084,423 TSUKAMOTO, SHINJI  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Ricky L Mack  | 2873   |  |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.  | <del></del>   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| THE  <br>- Extermination after<br>- If the - If NC - Failur - Any I  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| 1) 🗌   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | <u> </u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) <u></u> □  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th  | nis action is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | ion of Claims  Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)[  | ,  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| E) 🖂   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6</u> is/are allowed.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are rejected.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | an ala atian na mulanana mt   |  |  |  |  |  |
| -  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o<br>ion Papers  | or election requirement.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) 🗌 🤈   | The specification is objected to by the Examine  | er.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce   | pted or b)  objected to <b>by the Exa</b> l   | miner.   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The proposed drawing correction filed on   |   | oved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| _  | If approved, corrected drawings are required in re   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12)  | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | caminer.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13)🖾   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig   | n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | )-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| a)   | ⊠ All b)  Some * c)  None of:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority document   | ts have been received.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority document   | ts have been received in Applicati  | on No  |  |  |  |  |
| * 5  | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Bussee the attached detailed Office action for a list  | ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) 🗌 A  | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest   | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(  | e) (to a provisional application).   |  |  |  |  |
|  | )  The translation of the foreign language process  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notic   | te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3  | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I   | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) tion .  |  |  |  |  |
| S Patent and T   | rademark Office  |   |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/084,423

Art Unit: 2873

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: The structure associated with the "tremble prevention function" is not claimed. This functional recitation is not recited with corresponding structure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aoki et al. (5864722).

Aoki discloses, as in claim 5, a correcting optical system (5, 5a), a tremble prevention device (4) which drives the correcting optical system and when said tremble prevention function is not carried out, the correcting optical remains positioned on the optical axis with optical systems (L1, L2).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-4 and 6 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/084,423

Art Unit: 2873

6. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for indicating allowable subject matter:

The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the independent claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim(s) 1-4 and 6, wherein an optical device provided with a tremble prevention function comprises a correcting optical system including an imaging optical system, for correcting a tremble of a focused image due to an optical device tremble, and a means for preventing tremble which corrects said focused image, means for detecting an output voltage level, and means for controlling said driving means such, as claimed.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hirasawa (5517238), Washisu (5826115), Kai et al. (6009279), Enomoto (6064532), Yamazaki et al. (6078751), Takaka et al. (6330398 B1) and Uenaka et al. (6374048) are made of record because these references disclose tremble/stabilization/vibration/shaking correcting systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ricky L Mack whose telephone number is (703) 305-6984. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30 AM to 4:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/084,423

TSUKAMOTO, SHINJI

Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (703) 308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7724 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

RM June 16, 2003 RICKY MACK
PRIMARY EXAMINER

X. Mach